

State Police Retirement System of New Jersey

Actuarial Valuation Report as of July 1, 2020

Produced by Cheiron

March 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
Letter of Tran	nsmittal	i
Section I	Board Summary	1
Section II	Assessment and Disclosure of Risk	14
Section III	Assets	
Section IV	Liabilities	
Section V	Contributions	44

<u>Appendices</u>

Appendix A	Membership Information	46
Appendix B	Summary of Actuarial Assumptions and Methods	52
Appendix C	Summary of Plan Provisions	59
Appendix D	Historical Data and Required Exhibits	64
Appendix E	Glossary of Terms	68



LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

March 31, 2021

Board of Trustees State Police Retirement System of New Jersey State of New Jersey Department of the Treasury Division of Pension and Benefits, CN 295 Trenton, NJ 08625-0295

Dear Board Members:

At your request, we have performed the July 1, 2020 Actuarial Valuation of the State Police Retirement System of New Jersey (SPRS or System).

In preparing our report, we relied on information (some oral and some written) supplied by the Division of Pensions and Benefits. This information includes, but is not limited to, plan provisions, employee data, and financial information. We performed an informal examination of the obvious characteristics of the data for reasonableness and consistency in accordance with Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 23.

The results of this report are only applicable to the System's contribution for Fiscal Year Ending 2022. Future results may differ significantly from the current results presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the assumptions; changes in assumptions; and changes in plan provisions or applicable law.

The actuarial assumptions are the same as those used in the July 1, 2019 valuation. The demographic and economic (other than the investment rate of return) actuarial assumptions are based on the recommended assumptions from the July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2018 Experience Study, approved by the Board of Trustees on January 28, 2020. The investment return assumption of 7.30% is based on the recommendation of the State Treasurer.

This report and its contents have been prepared in accordance with generally recognized and accepted actuarial principles and practices and our understanding of the Code of Professional Conduct and applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice set out by the Actuarial Standards Board as well as applicable laws and regulations. Furthermore, as credentialed actuaries we meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the opinion contained in this report. This report does not address any contractual or legal issues. We are not attorneys, and our firm does not provide any legal services or advice.

State Police Retirement System of New Jersey March 31, 2021 Page 2

This actuarial valuation report was prepared exclusively for the State Police Retirement System of New Jersey for the purposes described herein and for the plan auditor in completing an audit related to the matters herein. Other users of this report are not intended users as defined in the Actuarial Standards of Practice, and Cheiron assumes no duty or liability to such other users.

Sincerely, Cheiron

ALA

Janet Cranna, FSA, FCA, MAAA, EA Principal Consulting Actuary

l'atil

Anu Patel, FSA, MAAA, EA Principal Consulting Actuary

with & Mith

Jonathan Chipko, FSA, MAAA, EA Consulting Actuary



SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY

The primary purpose of the actuarial valuation and this report is to disclose the following as of the valuation date:

- The financial condition of the State Police Retirement System of New Jersey,
- Past and expected future trends and risks to the System's financial condition,
- The State's Pension Contribution for the Fiscal Year Ending (FYE) 2022.

In this Section we present a summary of the principal valuation results. This includes the basis upon which the July 1, 2020 valuation was completed and an examination of the current financial condition of the System. In addition, we present a review of the key historical trends as well as the System's projected financial outlook. The stress testing in accordance with the requirements set out in Chapter 277, P. L. 2017 follows in Section II.

This report does not include reporting requirements under GASB Statements No. 67 and 68 which were provided in separate reports.

Results shown in this report for years prior to July 1, 2018 are based on the prior actuary's valuation reports.



SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY

Valuation Basis

The July 1, 2020 valuation results are based on the same actuarial methods and assumptions as used in the July 1, 2019 valuation. The demographic and economic assumptions, aside from the valuation interest rate, are based on the July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2018 Experience Study, which was approved by the Board of Trustees on January 28, 2020. The valuation is based on a 7.30% interest rate, which was recommended by the State Treasurer.

This report is prepared using census data, plan provisions, and financial information as of July 1, 2020 provided by the Division of Pensions and Benefits, and does not reflect any changes in the membership, plan provisions, or assets that occur after the valuation date. Events following that date are not, and should not be, reflected in this report.

Whereas there remains a lot of uncertainty, we continue to monitor developments regarding the COVID-19 pandemic and the impact it may have on the System. Chapter 54, P.L. 2020, amends eligibility for accidental disability and accidental death benefits for SPRS members when related to the contraction of COVID-19 during the Public Health Emergency declared by the Governor in Executive Order 103 of 2020, as extended. Chapter 46, P.L. 2020 delays mandatory retirement in the SPRS when it would occur during a period of a state of emergency. Actual experience, both demographic and economic, will be reflected in subsequent valuations as experience emerges.

This valuation reflects three other changes to the plan provisions. Chapter 157, P.L. 2019 expanded the definition of regular or assigned duties for purposes of accidental disability retirement to include the World Trade Center (WTC) rescue, recovery, or cleanup operations between September 11, 2001 and October 11, 2011 under certain conditions. For such members who participated in the WTC rescue, recovery, or cleanup operations, the total and permanent disability may occur after retirement on a service retirement or an ordinary disability retirement. After reviewing the members who have submitted eligibility registration forms and/or amended benefits requests forms with the DPB, we do not expect this legislation to have a material impact on the benefits payable by the System. Therefore, the impact of Chapter 157, P.L. 2019 will be recognized as part of the demographic experience as members are approved for such benefits.

Chapter 305, P.L. 2019 increased the benefit for a surviving child in the event of ordinary death while in active service. Previously, if there was no surviving spouse, or upon the surviving spouse's death or remarriage, a total of 20% (35%, 50%) of final compensation was payable to one (two, three or more) dependent child(ren). Under Chapter 305, P.L. 2019, if there is no surviving spouse, or upon the surviving spouse's death or remarriage, 50% of final compensation is payable to surviving children in equal shares. This legislation did not impact the actuarial liability for this valuation due to the current demographic assumptions and covered population.

Chapter 251, P.L. 2019 permits service credit transferred from another State-administered retirement system to apply towards creditable service in the SPRS. Any service credit that is transferred to the SPRS will be recognized as part of the demographic experience at the time it first appears in the valuation data.



SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY

The Appropriations Act of Fiscal Year 2020 reduced the State pension contribution from the Statutory amount of \$165,576,179 to \$115,920,000.

The potential impact of the Appropriations Act of 2021 reduces the State pension contribution for Fiscal Year 2021 from the Statutory amount of \$178,836,912 to \$139,492,791 (78% of the Statutory contribution). This valuation reflects the potential impact of the Appropriations Act of 2021. The 78% assumption represents a decrease from the 80% appropriation assumed in the prior actuarial valuation report.

Chapter 83, P.L. 2016 calls for the State to make the required pension contributions on a quarterly basis in each fiscal year according to the following schedule: at least 25% by September 30, at least 50% by December 31, at least 75% by March 31, and at least 100% by June 30. As such, contributions are assumed to be made on a quarterly basis.

The valuation excludes assets and liabilities under the Non-Contributory Group Insurance Premium Fund. The Non-Contributory Group Insurance premiums are separately funded on a pay-as-you-go basis.



SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY

Key Results

The following Table I-1 summarizes the key results of the valuation with respect to the System's membership, assets and liabilities, and contributions. The results are presented and compared for both the current and prior year.

Table I-1													
State Police Retirement System													
Summary of Key Valuation Results													
Valuation Date July 1, 2020 July 1, 2019 9													
Fiscal Year Ending (FYE)		2022		2021	Change								
Member Data													
Contributing Actives		2,762		2,766	-0.1%								
Non-Contributing Actives		57		54	5.6%								
Deferred Vested Members		0		0	N/A								
Retirees and Beneficiaries ¹		3,479		3,400	2.3%								
Total Members		6,298		6,220	1.3%								
Appropriation Payroll ²	\$	298,254,514	\$	296,189,926	0.7%								
Annual Retirement Allowances		231,316,330		223,394,278	3.5%								
Assets and Liabilities	¢	2 (02 501 511	Φ	2 505 2(1 512	2 70/								
Actuarial Liability	\$	3,692,501,511	\$	3,595,361,/13	2.7%								
Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA) ³	¢	2,001,925,624	¢	1,9/1,653,600	1.5%								
Unfunded Actuarial Liability/(Surplus)	\$	1,090,575,887	\$	1,023,708,113	4.1%								
Funded Ratio (AVA)		54.2%		54.8%	-0.6%								
Market Value of Assets $(MVA)^3$	\$	1.861.270.733	\$	1.902.721.169	-2.2%								
Unfunded Actuarial Liability/(Surplus)	\$	1,831,230,778	\$	1,692,640,544	8.2%								
Funded Ratio (MVA)		50.4%		52.9%	-2.5%								
Contribution Amounts													
State Normal Cost at End of Year	\$	44,783,339	\$	44,022,882	1.7%								
Amortization Payment of UAL		141,787,878		134,814,030	5.2%								
Total Statutory Contribution for FYE	\$	186,571,217	\$	178,836,912	4.3%								
Percent Appropriated		100.0%		78.0%	22.0%								
Net State Contribution	\$	186,571,217	\$	139,492,791	33.7%								

¹Retiree and Beneficiary counts do not include QDROs

² Annual compensation for contributing actives only

³ Includes discounted State appropriations receivable



SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY

The key results of the July 1, 2020 actuarial valuation are as follows:

- The Statutory contributions increased from \$178.8 million for fiscal year ending 2021 to \$186.6 million for fiscal year ending 2022 prior to any adjustments for the State phase-in of contributions.
- The funded ratio, the ratio of actuarial asset value over liabilities, decreased from 54.8% as of July 1, 2019 to 54.2% as of July 1, 2020. Using the market value of assets, the funded ratio also decreased from 52.9% to 50.4%.
- The unfunded actuarial liability used in determining the Statutory contribution (excess of actuarial liability over the actuarial value of assets) increased from \$1,623.7 million as of July 1, 2019 to \$1,690.6 million as of July 1, 2020.
- During the year there was a total actuarial experience loss of \$39 million, consisting of an asset loss of \$36 million and a liability loss of \$3 million. The liability loss of \$3 million represents 0.1% of liabilities. The rate of return on the actuarial value of assets was 5.35% compared to the expected return of 7.30%, resulting in the \$36 million asset loss.
- Chapter 157, P.L. 2019 and Chapter 305, P.L. 2019, the two legislative changes to plan provisions since the prior valuation, had no material impact on the liabilities.



SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY

Recent Trends

Although most of the attention given to the valuation reflects the most recently computed unfunded actuarial liability, funded ratio, and contribution amounts, each valuation is merely a snapshot of the long-term progress of a pension fund. It is important to take a step back from these latest results and view them in the context of the System's recent history. Below, we present a series of graphs which display key factors in the valuations of the last 10 years. Additionally, in Appendix D we provide the numerical values of the historical unfunded actuarial liability, funded ratio, and contribution amounts.

In reviewing the historic trends over the 10-year period, the System's declining funded status coupled with significant negative net cash flow highlights the potential risk of running out of assets to pay benefits unless the State consistently contributes the full amount of the Statutory required contributions.



SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY

Assets and Liabilities

The gray bars represent the Actuarial Liability (AL). The green line is the Market Value of Assets (MVA) and the gold line is the Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA). The System's funded ratio (ratio of assets to actuarial liability), on both a MVA basis and an AVA basis, is shown next to the respective assets lines.

The liability has been increasing over time in part due to additional benefit accruals but also due to decreases in the discount rate.

The funded ratio has been decreasing over time in part due to decreases in the discount rate, recognition of the 2008/2009 market losses and because the State has not been making the full Statutory contribution for the entire period shown.



The information above is based on the final actuarial valuation reports for the given years. The amounts do not reflect differences between the discounted State appropriations receivable and the actual State contribution amounts that became known after the issuance of the reports.



SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY

Membership Trends

The graph below shows the membership counts of the System for the last ten valuations. The numbers which appear above each bar represent the ratio of the number of inactive members to active members at each valuation date, and provide a measure of the maturity of the System. We refer to this ratio as the *support ratio*. The support ratio has generally increased over the period. As more of the liability moves from actives to inactives, the System will experience more volatility in contribution rates when actuarial gains and losses are recognized.

The numbers that are shown in the middle of the bars represent the number of actives or inactive members in thousands. The black line represents the appropriation payroll over the period, and corresponds with the scale on the right. For valuation years prior to 2018, appropriation payroll includes payroll for non-contributing actives and the appropriation payroll beginning in 2018 excludes the payroll for non-contributing actives.





SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY

Cash Flows

The following graph shows the System net cash flow (contributions less benefit payments and expenses) at the end of each fiscal year. For the entire period shown, the net cash flow which excludes investment return has been negative. This illustrates that contributions have not been sufficient to cover benefits and expenses in any years over the past decade. A major implication of a negative cash flow is that the difference each year must be met first from cash generated by investments and then be paid out of the principal assets, representing additional risk for the System if investments need to be sold in a down market to cover benefit payments. The black dotted line shows the net cash flow as a percent of the market assets and goes with the axis on the right. As seen in the graph below, the negative net cash flow has been gradually improving from -8.1% for FYE 2017 to -4.7% for FYE 2020 as the State appropriation amounts have increased by approximately 10% each year.





SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY

Contributions

This graph shows the historical trends for the State contributions. The Statutory contributions are comprised of the State normal cost (blue bars) and the amortization of the UAL (gold bars). The green line shows the actual State contributions over the period. For FYE 2021 and 2022, the green line has a lighter shade to indicate that these are expected, rather than actual, contributions. The expected contributions are based on the anticipated appropriations shown in Table I-1.

The red line is the **tread water line**, which is the State normal cost plus the interest on the UAL. The tread water line shows the minimum contributions needed to avoid an increase in the UAL.

The graph shows that not only has the State been making contributions less than required by Statute, but that the State contributions have historically been significantly below the tread water line. When contributions are lower than the normal cost plus interest on the UAL, the UAL is expected to grow from one year to the next. For FYE 2021 and 2022, the State is expected to appropriate 78% and 100% of the Statutory contribution, respectively. For FYE 2022, actual State contributions are expected to be greater than the tread water amount for the first time during the entire period shown.





SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY

Projected Future Outlook

The analysis of projected financial trends is perhaps the most important component of the valuation. This has been recognized by the State Legislature in their adoption of Chapter 277, P.L. 2017 requiring the System to have stress testing performed annually. The graphs presented in this section show the expected progress of the System's funded status over the next 30 years, measured in terms of the expected funded ratios and State contributions assuming that the System is ongoing.

While experience will not conform exactly to the assumptions every year, the trends reflect reasonable expectations. As a result, in addition to the baseline projection in this section, we provide additional **stress testing** in Section II based on varying investment returns in the future. It is our opinion that the stress testing analyses shown in Section II meet the requirements of Chapter 277, P. L. 2017.

The projections assume a constant active population. As members retire, terminate and die based on the current valuation assumptions, it is assumed that new members will replace them based on characteristics (age/gender/salary) similar to recent new members.

Additional assumptions used for these projections, including the investment rate of return for each subsequent valuation as recommended by the State Treasurer, as well as the anticipated appropriation percentages, are shown in Appendix B.

Baseline Scenario

The baseline projection shows the outcome if all actuarial assumptions, including the long-term rate of return assumption of 7.00%, as recommended by the State Treasurer, are exactly met. For each scenario we show two graphs.

The top graph compares the Market Value of Assets (green line) and the Actuarial or smoothed Value of Assets (gold line) to the System's Actuarial Liabilities (gray bars). In addition, at the top of the graph, we show the System's funded ratio on an Actuarial Value of Assets basis (ratio of Actuarial Value of Assets to Actuarial Liabilities). The years shown in the graph signify the valuation date as of July 1 of the labeled year.

The System's funded ratio on an Actuarial Value of Assets basis is projected to drop slightly next year, as the valuation investment rate of return assumption decreases from 7.30% to 7.00%, before beginning a slow but steady increase to 93% by 2050.

The bottom graph shows the contributions by fiscal year. The member contributions are in purple and the State contributions are in gold.

The projection assumes the State appropriates 100% of the Statutory contribution in FYE 2022 and each year thereafter. Both the appropriated State contributions and the member contributions are shown in dollar amounts.



SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY

The dashed black line in the bottom graph shows the gross normal cost. The difference between the dashed black line and the purple bar is the State portion of the normal cost.

The solid black line is the tread water line based on the Actuarial Value of Assets. Because the tread water metric equals the normal cost plus interest on the UAL, the difference between the solid black line and the dashed black line is the interest on the UAL. When contributions fall below the solid black line, the UAL grows and the funded ratio falls. When the contributions exceed the solid line, as is the case throughout the projection period, the UAL is expected to decrease and the funded ratio is expected to increase.

The Statutory contribution increases noticeably in FYE 2023 as the valuation investment rate of return assumption decreases from 7.30% to 7.00%. Thereafter, the Statutory contributions increase more gradually. Once the appropriated amount equals the Statutory contribution, beginning in FYE 2022, the contributions reach the level necessary to pay down the UAL and the tread water line begins to decrease relative to the Statutory contribution.



SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY

Baseline: 7.0% return for all years





SECTION II – ASSESSMENT AND DISCLOSURE OF RISK

Actuarial valuations are based on a set of assumptions about future economic and demographic experience. These assumptions represent a reasonable estimate of future experience, but actual future experience will undoubtedly be different and may be significantly different. This section of the report is intended to identify the primary risks to the System, provide some background information about those risks, and provide an assessment of those risks.

Identification of Risks

The fundamental risk to the System is that the contributions needed to pay the benefits become unaffordable. While there are a number of factors that could lead to contribution amounts becoming unaffordable, we believe the primary risks are:

- Investment risk,
- Assumption change risk, and
- Contribution risk.

Other risks that we have not identified may also turn out to be important.

Investment risk is the potential for investment returns to be different than expected. Lower investment returns than anticipated will increase the unfunded actuarial liability necessitating higher contributions in the future unless there are other gains that offset these investment losses. The potential volatility of future investment returns is determined by the System's asset allocation, and the affordability of the investment risk is determined by the amount of assets invested relative to the size of the plan sponsor or other contribution base.

Assumption change risk is the potential for the environment to change such that future valuation assumptions are different than the current assumptions. For example, declines in interest rates over the last three decades resulted in higher investment returns for fixed income investments, but lower expected future returns necessitating either a change in investment policy, a reduction in discount rate, or some combination of the two. Assumption change risk is an extension of the other risks identified, but rather than capturing the risk as it is experienced, it captures the cost of recognizing a change in environment when the current assumption is no longer reasonable.

Contribution risk is the potential for actual future contributions to deviate from expected future contributions. There are different sources of contribution risk ranging from the sponsor choosing to not make contributions in accordance with the funding policy to material changes in the contribution base (e.g., covered employees, covered payroll, sponsor revenue) that affect the amount of contributions the System can collect.

The chart below shows the components of changes in the Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) for the System over the last 10 years, including investment gains and losses on the Actuarial Value of Assets, liability gains and losses, assumption and plan changes, and contributions compared to the tread water level of contributions (normal cost plus interest on the UAL.) The net UAL change is shown by the dark blue line. Table II-1 below the chart summarizes the changes in the UAL over the last 10 years.



SECTION II – ASSESSMENT AND DISCLOSURE OF RISK

These total changes in UAL support our identification of investment returns, assumption changes, and contributions as the primary risks to the System.



Historical Changes in UAL 2011-2020

TABLE II-1 Changes in Unfunded Actuarial Liability (Dollar amounts in millions)																				
		2011	2	012	2	2013	2	014	,	2015	2016	,	2017	ź	2018	,	2019	2	2020	Total
Discount Rate Source		7.95%	7	.90%	7	7.90%	7	.90%	,	7.90%	7.65%	,	7.50%	,	7.50%	,	7.30%		7.30%	
AVA Investment (G)/L Liability (G)/L Assumptions/Methods	\$	48.8 (38.3) 4.2	\$	60.0 65.1 27.3	\$	39.5 15.6 0.0	\$	3.6 16.5 1.3	\$	17.1 0.1 52.4	\$ 46.7 (8.0) 45.7	\$	23.2 1.5 55.9	\$	14.5 (3.3) 2.8	\$	17.2 (2.4) 79.8	\$	35.2 3.4 0.0	\$ 305.7 50.1 269.4
Contributions ¹ Net UAL Change	\$	73.9 88.6	\$ 2	53.6 206.1	\$	52.3 107.4	\$ 1	80.7 102.0	\$	69.6 1 39.2	\$ 72.9 157.3	\$	63.8 144.7	\$	54.6 68.6	\$	37.5 1 32.2	\$	28.3 66.9	\$ 587.4 1 ,212.8

^{*I*} UAL change due to contributions (greater)/less than normal cost plus interest on the UAL.



SECTION II – ASSESSMENT AND DISCLOSURE OF RISK

On a smoothed asset basis, the investment gains and losses (gold bars) from 2011 to 2020 reflect material investment losses driven by the market decline of 2008 and 2009, which were spread over the five successive years. In aggregate, over the 10-year period, investment losses have added approximately \$305.7 million to the UAL.

On the liability side (gray bars), the System has experienced a combination of gains and losses, however much smaller in magnitude compared to the assets, increasing the UAL by approximately \$50.1 million over the 10-year period.

Assumption and method changes (purple bars) over the last 10 years have increased the UAL by approximately \$269.4 million. The significant assumption changes have included reductions in the discount rate from 8.25% to 7.30% as well as decreases in mortality rates and projected mortality improvement. It is important to note that the discount rate changes simply reflect a downward revision to the estimate of future investment earnings and ultimately costs will be determined by actual investment earnings.

Plan changes (green bars) over the last 10 years have increased the UAL by approximately \$0.2 million.

Each year the UAL is expected to increase for benefit accruals attributable to the current year (the normal cost) and interest on the UAL. This expected increase is referred to as the tread water level. If contributions are greater than the tread water level, the UAL is expected to decrease. Conversely, if contributions are less than the tread water level, the UAL is expected to increase. Changes due to contributions greater or less than the tread water level (red bars) have increased the UAL by approximately \$587.4 million over the last 10 years.

In general, the amortization methods used to determine the Statutory contributions are designed to collect more than the tread water level. However, contributions may be less than this threshold because of the State appropriating less than the Statutory contributions.

The following chart shows the total changes to the UAL over the 10-year period by source.



SECTION II – ASSESSMENT AND DISCLOSURE OF RISK



Plan Maturity Measures

The future financial condition of a mature pension plan is more sensitive to each of the risks identified above than a less mature plan. Before assessing each of these risks, it is important to understand the maturity of the plan compared to other plans and how the maturity has changed over time.

Plan maturity can be measured in a variety of ways, but they all get at one basic dynamic - the larger the plan is compared to the contribution or revenue base that supports it, the more sensitive the plan will be to risk. The measures below have been selected as the most important in understanding the primary risks identified for the System.



SECTION II – ASSESSMENT AND DISCLOSURE OF RISK

Inactives per Active (Support Ratio)

One simple measure of plan maturity is the ratio of the number of inactive members (those receiving benefits or entitled to a deferred benefit) to the number of active members. We refer to this ratio as the *support ratio*. The revenue base supporting the plan is usually proportional to the number of active members, so a relatively high number of inactives compared to actives indicates a larger plan relative to its revenue base as well. We also discussed this risk metric in Section I.



The chart above shows the distribution from the 5th to 95th percentile of support ratios for the plans in the Public Plans Database. The black diamond shows how SPRS compares dating back to 2009. Through 2010, SPRS was slightly more mature than the median plan. The support ratio increased following the Great Recession, but has since stabilized at a level around the 75th percentile.

Net Cash Flow

The net cash flow of the plan as a percentage of the beginning of year assets indicates the sensitivity of the plan to short-term investment returns. Net cash flow is equal to contributions less benefit payments and administrative expenses. Mature plans can have large amounts of benefit payments compared to contributions, particularly if they are well funded. Investment losses in the short-term are compounded by the net withdrawal from the plan leaving a smaller asset base to try to recover from the investment losses. Large negative cash flows can also create liquidity issues. We also discussed this metric in Section I.



SECTION II – ASSESSMENT AND DISCLOSURE OF RISK



Net Cash Flow Rate

The chart above shows the distribution from the 5th to 95th percentile of net cash flow for the plans in the Public Plans Database. The black diamond shows how SPRS compares. Since the Great Recession, SPRS has generally been at or below the 5th percentile compared to the database of other public plans in terms of negative cash flow as a percentage of assets.

Assessing Costs and Risks

The fundamental risk to the System is that the contributions needed to fund the benefits become unaffordable. Assessing this risk, however, is complex because there is no bright line of what is unaffordable and the contribution amounts themselves are affected not just by the experience of the System, but also by the interaction of that experience and decisions by the State and the Board related to the amount of contributions appropriated, assumptions, asset smoothing methods, and amortization periods.

Investment Risk - Stress Testing

This section illustrates stress testing of the investment return assumption and is an extension of the baseline projections provided in the Summary section. Under the baseline results, we assumed the ultimate 7.00% investment return assumption each and every year beginning July 1, 2020.



SECTION II – ASSESSMENT AND DISCLOSURE OF RISK

For stress testing purposes, we developed six hypothetical scenarios to illustrate the impact actual investment returns may have on future funded status and contribution amounts. The scenarios are balanced between positive and negative scenarios and are based on a lognormal distribution of one and five year expected returns as shown in the following table using the capital market assumptions from the New Jersey Division of Investments (geometric return of 6.94%, standard deviation of 11.05%).

Distribution of Expected Average Annual Returns										
Percentile	1 Year	5 Year								
5%	-9.7%	-0.8%								
25%	-0.2%	3.7%								
50%	6.9%	6.9%								
75%	14.6%	10.3%								
95%	26.6%	15.3%								

The scenarios include: a one-year shock using the 5th and 95th percentile returns for one year; a 5-year moderate scenario using the 25th and 75th percentile returns for five years; and a 5-year significant scenario using the 5th and 95th percentile returns for five years. The table below summarizes the theoretical scenarios.

Theoretical Scenarios												
	1-Yr Shock 5-Yr Moderate 5-Yr Significa											
FYE	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos						
2021	-9.7%	26.6%	3.7%	10.3%	-0.8%	15.3%						
2022	7.0%	7.0%	3.7%	10.3%	-0.8%	15.3%						
2023	7.0%	7.0%	3.7%	10.3%	-0.8%	15.3%						
2024	7.0%	7.0%	3.7%	10.3%	-0.8%	15.3%						
2025	7.0%	7.0%	3.7%	10.3%	-0.8%	15.3%						
2026+	7.0%	7.0%	7.0%	7.0%	7.0%	7.0%						

In reviewing each of these projections, it is the future trends, not necessarily the actual values, that are important to observe in consideration of the risks of the System and the potential volatility of future funded ratios and Statutory contribution levels.

The graphs on the following pages show the projections under each of these theoretical scenarios. Instead of the tread water line shown for the baseline projection, the contribution graphs include a dashed red line representing the expected contributions under the baseline projections shown in the Summary section to facilitate the comparison between the particular scenario and the baseline projections assuming all assumptions are met.



SECTION II – ASSESSMENT AND DISCLOSURE OF RISK

One-Year Negative Shock Scenario: -9.7% return FYE 2021, 7.0% after





SECTION II – ASSESSMENT AND DISCLOSURE OF RISK

One-Year Positive Shock Scenario: 26.6% return FYE 2021, 7.0% after





SECTION II – ASSESSMENT AND DISCLOSURE OF RISK

Five-Year Moderate Negative Scenario: 3.7% return FYE 2021-2025, 7.0% after





SECTION II – ASSESSMENT AND DISCLOSURE OF RISK

Five-Year Moderate Positive Scenario: 10.3% return FYE 2021-2025, 7.0% after





SECTION II – ASSESSMENT AND DISCLOSURE OF RISK

Five-Year Significant Negative Scenario: -0.8% return FYE 2021-2025, 7.0% after





SECTION II – ASSESSMENT AND DISCLOSURE OF RISK

Five-Year Significant Positive Scenario: 15.3% return FYE 2021-2025, 7.0% after







SECTION II – ASSESSMENT AND DISCLOSURE OF RISK

These scenarios show that actual future investment returns have a significant impact on future State contribution amounts. In each of the scenarios, the State contribution amount increases in FYE 2023 as the valuation investment rate of return assumption decreases from 7.30% to 7.00%. The following table summarizes the impact on the State contributions in FYE 2034 for each of the investment return scenarios.

Table II-2 Impact on Contributions for FYE 2034 (dollar amounts in millions)												
	1-Yr	Shock	5-Yr Significant									
	Neg	Pos	Neg Pos									
Amount	\$26	(\$30)	\$23	(\$26)	\$51	(\$71)						
Percent	11%	-13%	10%	-11%	22%	-31%						

The five-year significant positive scenario shows the System achieving a 100% funded status during the 30-year projection period, which results in the State contribution decreasing to equal the employer normal cost.

The investment returns used in the projections above were selected solely to illustrate the impact of investment volatility on the pattern of future funded status and contribution amounts. They are not intended to be predictive of actual future contributions or funded status or even to represent a realistic pattern of investment returns.

Assumption Change Risk – Sensitivity Testing

As shown in Table II-1, assumption changes over the last decade have increased the UAL by approximately \$269 million. The most significant changes were reductions in the discount rate and projections of mortality improvement. The reductions in discount rates have been largely driven by declines in interest rates that affect expectations of future investment returns. If there are further declines in interest rates or if there is a desire or need to reduce investment risk that reduces expected returns, the discount rate and expected returns may need to be reduced further. The graphs on the following page show the impact on projected future funded status and contribution amounts if the discount rate and expected returns were reduced by 100 basis points to 6.00% beginning with the July 1, 2021 valuation.

This scenario results in Statutory contribution amounts in FYE 2034 that are about 18% more than the baseline.



SECTION II – ASSESSMENT AND DISCLOSURE OF RISK

6.00% Discount Rate and Investment Return Assumption Effective July 1, 2021





SECTION II – ASSESSMENT AND DISCLOSURE OF RISK

Contribution Risk – Sensitivity Testing

The amortization method used to determine the Statutory contributions is designed to collect more than the tread water level and, therefore, gradually pay down the UAL. However, appropriated contributions have consistently been less than the Statutory contributions and the tread water level, causing an increase in the UAL of about \$587 million over the last 10 years. The baseline projections assume the State appropriates 100% of the Statutory contribution beginning in FYE 2022, at which time contributions are projected to be greater than the tread water level.

Contribution risk is the potential for actual future contributions to deviate from expected future contributions. The graphs on the following page illustrate the impact on projected future funded status and contribution amounts if the State appropriation remains at 78% of the Statutory contribution for each year in the future, rather than increasing to 100% of the Statutory contribution. In illustrating contribution risk sensitivity, we assume the State's appropriation percent remains at the current level of 78%. The gold outline in the bottom graph shows the State's full Statutory contributions with the shaded portion showing the anticipated appropriated amount.

This scenario shows both the Statutory and appropriated contributions gradually increasing over time. The Statutory contributions quickly exceed the baseline. The appropriated contributions are below the baseline initially but eventually grow to reach to the same level, but with a much lower funded ratio. The funded ratio at the end of the projection period is 61% compared to 93% under the baseline projections.



SECTION II – ASSESSMENT AND DISCLOSURE OF RISK

State Appropriates 78% of Statutory Contribution for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2022 and Thereafter





SECTION II – ASSESSMENT AND DISCLOSURE OF RISK

More Detailed Assessment

While a more detailed assessment is always valuable to enhance the understanding of the risks identified above, we believe the scenarios illustrated above cover the primary risks facing the System at this time. We would be happy to provide the Board with a more in-depth analysis at their request.



SECTION III – ASSETS

The System uses and discloses two different asset measurements for funding, which are presented in this section of the report: market value and actuarial value of assets. The market value represents the value of the assets if they were liquidated on the valuation date. The actuarial value of assets is a value that smooths annual investment returns to reduce annual investment volatility and is used in determining contribution levels. In compliance with New Jersey Statute, the method used to calculate the actuarial value of assets recognizes 20% of the difference between the market value of assets and the expected actuarial value of assets each year.

Actuarial Standards of Practice (ASOP) No. 44 states that the asset valuation method should produce an actuarial value of assets that falls within a reasonable range of market value, recognizes the difference between the market value and actuarial value of assets within a reasonably short period of time, and is likely to produce actuarial value of assets that are sometimes greater than and sometimes less than the corresponding market values. The asset method required under N. J. Statute does not meet the requirements of ASOP No. 44 because this method has produced actuarial value of assets which have consistently been greater than the market value of assets and recognizes investment losses slowly over time. Additionally, the method may produce an actuarial value of assets that falls outside of a reasonable range of the market value.

On the following pages, we present detailed information on the System's assets:

- Disclosure of assets at July 1, 2019 and July 1, 2020,
- Statement of cash flows during the year,
- Development of the actuarial value of assets, and
- Disclosure of investment performance for the year.

Disclosure

The market value of assets represents a "snap-shot" value as of the last day of the fiscal year that provides the principal basis for measuring financial performance from one year to the next. Market values, however, can fluctuate widely with corresponding swings in the value of the investments. Because these fluctuations would cause volatility in employer contributions, an actuarial value of assets is developed. Table III-1 on the following page presents the market value as of June 30, 2019 and June 30, 2020. Table III-2 presents the System's net cash flows from June 30, 2019 to June 30, 2020. Table III-3 presents the development of the Actuarial Value of Assets as of July 1, 2020. Tables III-4 and III-5 show the market and actuarial value of assets historical investment returns compared to the assumed return for each year, as well as the cumulative returns over various periods and durations of time.


SECTION III – ASSETS

Table III-1 Statement of Assets at Market Value						
	٩	June 30, 2020	e	June 30, 2019		
Assets						
Cash	\$	280,141	\$	985,575		
Securities Lending Collateral		32,131,335		22,948,963		
Investment Holdings		1,705,836,068		1,795,727,098		
Accrued Interest on Investments		10,976		3,964		
Interest Receivable on Loans		99,337		63,253		
Employer Contributions Receivable						
State		28,980,000		0		
State NGCI		135,653		151,066		
Members' Contributions Receivable		1,122,475		2,314,582		
Loans Receivable		10,858,588		11,628,128		
Accounts Receivable		794,742		98,472		
Total Assets	\$	1,780,249,315	\$	1,833,921,101		
Liabilities						
Pension Payroll Payable	\$	(14,829,220)	\$	(14,268,898)		
Pension Adjustment Payroll Payable		(1,600,220)		(1,657,582)		
Death Benefits Payable		(135,653)		(100,081)		
Withholdings Payable		(2,813,454)		(2,654,125)		
Securities Lending Collateral						
and Rebate Payable		(32,120,707)		(22,921,221)		
Administrative Expense Payable		(944,656)		(358,621)		
Accounts Payable - Other		(43,860)		(171,006)		
Total Liabilities	\$	(52,487,770)	\$	(42,131,534)		
Preliminary Market Value of Assets	\$	1,727,761,545	\$	1,791,789,567		
Discounted State Appropriations Receivable		133,509,188		110,931,602		
Market Value of Assets	\$	1,861,270,733	\$	1,902,721,169		



SECTION III – ASSETS

System Cash Flows as of June 30, 2020

Table III-2					
Changes in Market Values for FYE June 30	, 2020				
Additions					
Pension Contributions					
Members' Contributions	\$	24,292,258			
Transfers from Other Systems		218,270			
Employers' Contributions					
State Appropriations		115,920,000			
Non-Contributory Group Insurance		1,991,260			
Transfers from Other Systems		113,377			
Administrative Fee Loans		4,740			
Income					
Per Statement		24,733,948			
Total Additions	\$	167,273,853			
Deductions					
Benefits Provided by Members					
Withdrawal of Members' Contributions - Regular & Death	\$	139,154			
Withdrawal of Members' Contributions - Transfer		26,341			
Adjustment - Member Account Loans - State		(7,414)			
Benefits Provided by Employers and Members					
Retirement Allowances		208,841,559			
Benefits Provided by Employers					
Benefit Expense - Pension Adjustment		19,673,473			
Administrative Expense		666,523			
Withdrawals - Employer Transfers		0			
Administrative Expense Loans		5,235			
Adjustment - Member Accounts Expense - State		(34,256)			
NCGI Premium Expense		1,991,260			
Miscellaneous Expense		0			
Total Deductions	\$	231,301,875			
Net Increase/(Decrease)	\$	(64,028,022)			
Preliminary Market Value of Assets Beginning of Year	\$	1,791,789,567			
Preliminary Market Value of Assets End of Year	\$	1,727,761,545			
Discounted State Appropriations Receivable		133,509,188			
Market Value of Assets	\$	1,861,270,733			
Approximate Return		1.43%			



SECTION III – ASSETS

Actuarial Value of Assets

To determine on-going funding requirements, most pension systems utilize an actuarial value of assets that differs from the market value of assets. The actuarial value of assets represents an asset value based on averaging or smoothing year-to-year market value returns for purposes of reducing contribution volatility. Each year, 20% of the difference between the market value of assets and the expected actuarial value of assets is added to the expected actuarial value of assets.

Table III-3Development of Actuarial Value of Assets for July 1, 2020						
1. Preliminary Actuarial Value of Assets as of 7/1/2019 ¹	\$	1,860,721,998				
2. Net Cash Flow excluding Investment Income	\$	(88,761,970)				
3. Expected Investment Income ²	\$	131,620,131				
4. Expected Actuarial Value of Assets as of 7/1/2020: (1+2+3)	\$	1,903,580,159				
5. Preliminary Market Value as of 6/30/2020	\$	1,727,761,545				
6. 20% of Difference from MVA = $(5-4) \ge 0.2$	\$	(35,163,723)				
7. Preliminary Actuarial Value of Assets as of 7/1/2020: (4+6)	\$	1,868,416,436				
8. Discounted State Appropriations Receivable	\$	133,509,188				
9. Actuarial Value of Assets as of 7/1/2020: (7+8)	\$	2,001,925,624				
10. Rate of Return on Actuarial Value of Assets		5.35%				
11. Ratio of Actuarial Value of Assets to Market Value of Assets		107.56%				

¹Excludes discounted State appropriations receivable

²Refer to Appendix B, Actuarial Methods, for details on the assumed timing of contributions



SECTION III – ASSETS

Investment Performance

The market value of assets rate of return was 1.43% for the year ending June 30, 2020. This is compared to an assumed return of 7.30% for the same period. On an actuarial value of assets basis, the return for FYE 2020 was 5.35%. In the table below, we show historical asset returns compared to the investment return assumption. We show returns beginning with the year ending in 2000.

Table III-4 Annual Rates of Return						
Year Ended June 30	Investment Return Assumption	Market Value ¹	Actuarial Value ²			
2000	8.75%	11.86%	12.83%			
2001	8.75%	-9.80%	7.77%			
2002	8.75%	-8.61%	4.74%			
2003	8.75%	3.31%	4.36%			
2004	8.75%	14.16%	5.87%			
2005	8.25%	8.77%	5.85%			
2006	8.25%	9.79%	6.55%			
2007	8.25%	17.14%	8.30%			
2008	8.25%	-2.61%	6.29%			
2009	8.25%	-15.49%	2.18%			
2010	8.25%	13.34%	3.72%			
2011	8.25%	17.97%	5.75%			
2012	7.95%	2.47%	4.83%			
2013	7.90%	11.72%	5.77%			
2014	7.90%	16.79%	7.64%			
2015	7.90%	4.08%	6.91%			
2016	7.90%	-1.15%	5.32%			
2017	7.65%	12.77%	6.26%			
2018	7.50%	9.11%	6.69%			
2019	7.50%	6.12%	6.54%			
2020	7.30%	1.43%	5.35%			

¹Beginning in 2017, the returns are from the System's Actuarial Valuation Report. Since the prior actuary did not calculate a market value return prior 2017, earlier returns are from other sources. Returns for 2014 through 2016 are money-weighted returns for the Pension Funds from the DPB's Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports. Returns for 2000 through 2013 are returns for the Pension Funds from the New Jersey State Investment Council Annual Reports.

²The prior actuary did not report an actuarial value return in 2000. The return shown was calculated based on available information.



SECTION III – ASSETS

Additionally, we show the compound annualized rates of return for various periods in the following table. On a cumulative basis, there are periods where the market value return significantly exceeds the actuarial value return. This is due to the recognition of market value losses from earlier years in the actuarial value. We present compound annualized rates of return over consecutive five-year periods to help illustrate this point.

Table III-5 Compound Annualized Rates of Returns								
Investment Return								
Period	Period Assumption Market Value Actuarial Value							
Since July 1, 1999	8.14%	5.45%	6.15%					
20-Year	8.11%	5.14%	5.83%					
15-Year	7.93%	6.52%	5.86%					
10-Year	7.77%	7.95%	6.10%					
5-Year	7.57%	5.54%	6.03%					
Consecutive Five-Year Peri	Consecutive Five-Year Periods							
2000 to 2004	8.75%	1.69%	7.07%					
2005 to 2009	8.25%	2.86%	5.81%					
2010 to 2014	8.05%	12.32%	5.53%					
2015 to 2019	7.69%	6.08%	6.34%					
2020 (One-Year)	7.30%	1.43%	5.35%					



SECTION III – ASSETS

We present the annual rates of return from Table III-4 in the following graph. The market value return (green) shows significant volatility with years above and below the investment return assumption (blue). The largest deviations from expectations are losses in 2001 and 2002 and again in 2008 and 2009. The actuarial value returns (yellow) follow the direction of market value returns, but much more gradually as gains and losses are recognized over time. For nearly the entire period, the actuarial value returns fall short of the investment return assumption and result in AVA losses and UAL increases. When the actuarial return approaches the assumption, the MVA is closing in on the AVA with the possibility of AVA gains in the near future. However, both such instances (2007 and 2014) are followed by market value losses (large, sharp losses in 2008-2009 and small cumulative losses in 2015-2020).





SECTION IV – LIABILITIES

In this section, we present detailed information on the liabilities of the System, including:

- Disclosure of liabilities at July 1, 2019 and July 1, 2020,
- Active liabilities broken down by Tier, and
- The development of the actuarial gain and loss.

Disclosure

The Actuarial Liability is used for determining employer contributions. For SPRS, the funding method employed is the Projected Unit Credit (PUC) Actuarial Cost Method. Under this funding method, the actuarial liability is calculated as the actuarial present value of the projected benefits allocated to periods prior to the valuation year.

This liability is determined for funding purposes and is not appropriate for measuring the cost of settling plan liabilities by purchasing annuities or paying lump sums.



SECTION IV – LIABILITIES

Table IV-1 shows the actuarial liability, unfunded actuarial liability and funded ratio as of July 1, 2020 and July 1, 2019 for the System.

Table IV-1 Actuarial Liability						
		July 1, 2020		July 1, 2019		
Actuarial Liability						
Contributing Actives	\$	1,034,784,780	\$	1,023,333,772		
Non-Contributing Actives		10,293,170		9,783,868		
Deferred Vested		0		0		
Retirees		2,305,613,737		2,235,894,823		
Disabled		187,627,981		181,876,049		
Beneficiaries		154,181,843		144,473,201		
Total	\$	3,692,501,511	\$	3,595,361,713		
Actuarial Value of Assets	\$	2,001,925,624	\$	1,971,653,600		
Unfunded Actuarial Liability/(Surplus)	\$	1,690,575,887	\$	1,623,708,113		
Funded Ratio		54.2%		54.8%		



SECTION IV – LIABILITIES

Tables IV-2 and IV-3 show the Actuarial Liability of active members by Tier as of July 1, 2020.

Table IV-2 Contributing Active Liabilities by Tier							
	Number of	Appropriation	Actuarial	Gross			
	Members	Payroll	Liability	Normal Cost			
Tier 1	1,710	\$ 208,899,415	\$ 950,292,672	\$ 48,580,016			
Tier 2	1,052	89,355,099	84,492,108	15,256,568			
Total	2,762	\$ 298,254,514	\$ 1,034,784,780	\$ 63,836,584			

Table IV-3 Non-Contributing Active Liabilities by Tier							
	Number of Members	La	st Reported Payroll		Actuarial Liability	Gr Norma	oss al Cost
Tier 1 Tier 2 Total	29 28 57	\$	2,770,124 2,138,008 4,908,132	\$ \$	9,084,521 1,208,649 10,293,170	\$ \$	0 0 0



SECTION IV – LIABILITIES

Table IV-4 presents the change in the actuarial liabilities, actuarial assets, and unfunded actuarial liability during the plan year. In general, the unfunded actuarial liability (UAL) of any retirement system is expected to change at each subsequent valuation for a variety of reasons. In each valuation, we report on those elements of change in the UAL which are of particular significance, potentially affecting the long-term financial outlook of the System.

	Table IV-4						
	Developmen	t 01 .	2020 Experience	(Gai	n)/Loss		Unfunded
			Actuarial Liability	A	Actuarial Value of Assets		Actuarial Liability
1.	Value as of July 1, 2019	\$	3,595,361,713	\$	(1,971,653,600)	\$	1,623,708,113
2.	Additions a.) Normal Cost b.) Statutory State Contributions c.) Expected Member Contributions d.) Total Additions	\$ \$	63,235,811 0 63,235,811	\$ \$	0 (178,836,912) (23,004,276) (201,841,188)	\$ \$	63,235,811 (178,836,912) (23,004,276) (138,605,377)
3.	Deductions a.) Benefit Payments b.) Expected Administrative Expenses c.) Total Deductions	\$ \$	(228,646,772) 0 (228,646,772)	\$ \$	228,646,772 0 228,646,772	\$ \$	0 0 0
4.	Net Transfers from Other Systems a.) State Contributions b.) Member Contributions c.) Total Net Transfers	\$ \$	113,377 191,929 305,306	\$ \$	(113,377) (191,929) (305,306)	\$ \$	0 0 0
5.	Expected Interest	\$	258,889,949	\$	(136,567,910)	\$	122,322,039
6.	Expected Value as of July 1, 2020: [1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5]	\$	3,689,146,007	\$	(2,081,721,232)	\$	1,607,424,775
7.	Other Changes a.) Appropriation Adjustment b.) Contribution Timing c.) Actual Member Contributions d.) Assumption Changes e.) Change in Benefits f.) Total Other Changes	\$ \$	0 0 0 0 0 0	\$	39,326,996 5,983,603 (1,334,166) 0 0 43,976,433	\$	39,326,996 5,983,603 (1,334,166) 0 0 43,976,433
8.	Expected value after changes: [6 + 7]	\$	3,689,146,007	\$	(2,037,744,799)	\$	1,651,401,208
9.	Actual Value as of July 1, 2020	\$	3,692,501,511	\$	(2,001,925,624)	\$	1,690,575,887
10.	Actuarial (Gain)/Loss: [9 - 8]	\$	3,355,504	\$	35,819,175	\$	39,174,679



SECTION IV – LIABILITIES

Table IV-5 shows the components of the Actuarial (Gain)/Loss for the System as of July 1, 2020 and July 1, 2019.

Table IV-5 Actuarial (Gain)/Loss Analysis					
Components	,	July 1, 2020	J	uly 1, 2019	
Actuarial Value of Assets					
Investment Return	\$	35,163,723	\$	17,233,108	
Administrative Expenses		655,452		618,088	
Total	\$	35,819,175	\$	17,851,196	
Actuarial Liability					
Salary Increases	\$	(3,198,639)	\$	1,965,147	
New Entrants		1,841,219		1,957,712	
Demographic Experience					
Contributing Actives		9,282,435		619,657	
Non-Contributing Actives		(1,110,410)		(1,156,129)	
Inactives		(3,142,848)		(5,839,793)	
Sub-Total	\$	3,671,757	\$	(2,453,406)	
Impact of Net Transfers from Other Systems		(316,253)		41,301	
Total	\$	3,355,504	\$	(2,412,105)	
Actuarial (Gain)/Loss	\$	39,174,679	\$	15,439,091	



SECTION V – CONTRIBUTIONS

In the process of evaluating the financial condition of any pension plan, the actuary analyzes the assets and liabilities to determine what level (if any) of contributions is needed to properly maintain the funded status of the Plan. Typically, the actuarial process will use a funding technique that will result in a pattern of contributions that are both stable and predictable.

Under the current funding policy, the State funding requirement contains two components: the employer normal cost and an amortization of the unfunded actuarial liability (UAL). The funding methodology prescribed by NJ State Statute does not include a cost component for administrative expenses, and therefore administrative expenses are implicitly covered by the investment rate of return assumption. Because the investment rate of return assumption is recommended by the State Treasurer, we provide no opinion on the reasonableness of the assumption.

For SPRS, the funding method employed is the Projected Unit Credit (PUC) Actuarial Cost Method. Under this funding method, the actuarial liability is calculated as the actuarial present value of the projected benefits allocated to periods prior to the valuation year. The unfunded actuarial liability is the actuarial liability on the valuation date less the actuarial value of assets.

In accordance with Chapter 78, P. L. 2011, the unfunded actuarial liability for the July 1, 2019 valuation was amortized over a closed 30 year period as a level dollar amount. For the July 1, 2020 valuation, the amortization period has decreased to 29 years.



SECTION V – CONTRIBUTIONS

Table V-1 shows the development of the Statutory Pension Contribution for the current and prior year. Table V-2 summarizes the contributions as a percentage of appropriation payroll.

	Table V-1 Development of Statutory Pension Contribution						
	Valuation Date Fiscal Year Ending		July 1, 2020 2022		July 1 2019 2021		
1.	Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) Contribut	ion					
	a. Actuarial Liability	\$	3,692,501,511	\$	3,595,361,713		
	b. Actuarial Value of Assets		2,001,925,624	•	1,971,653,600		
	c. Unfunded Actuarial Liability [1a 1b.]	\$	1,690,575,887	\$	1,623,708,113		
	d. Amortization Period (years)		29		30		
	e. Amortization of UAL Payable at	¢	122 141 545	¢	105 (40 150		
	Valuation Date (Level Dollar)	\$	132,141,545	\$	125,642,153		
	Fiscal Year [1e. with one year of interest]	\$	141,787,878	\$	134,814,030		
2.	Normal Cost Contribution						
	a. Gross Normal Cost	\$	63,836,584	\$	63,235,811		
	b. Expected Member Contributions		22,100,015		22,207,962		
	c. State Normal Cost [2a 2b.]	\$	41,736,569	\$	41,027,849		
	d. State Normal Cost Payable Beginning of						
	Fiscal Year [2c. with interest]	\$	44,783,339	\$	44,022,882		
3.	Total Statutory Pension Contribution as of						
	Beginning of Fiscal Year [1f. + 2d.]	\$	186,571,217	\$	178,836,912		

Table V-2

Statutory Contributions as a Percent of Appropriation Payroll

Valuation Date Fiscal Year Ending	July 1, 2020 2022	July 1, 2019 2021
Statutory Contribution State Normal Cost UAL Contribution	15.02% 47 54%	14.86% 45 52%
Total Statutory Pension Contribution	62.56%	60.38%

Rates are based only on contributing active compensation



APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

The data for this valuation was provided by the New Jersey Division of Pensions and Benefits as of July 1, 2020. Cheiron did not audit any of the data. However, we did perform an informal examination of the obvious characteristics of the data for reasonableness and consistency in accordance with Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 23. The following is a list of data charts contained in this section:

- A-1: Contributing Active Member Data by Tier
- A-2: Non-Contributing Active Member Data by Tier
- A-3: Inactive Member Data, Total Annual and Average Retirement Allowances by Status
- A-4: Reconciliation of Plan Membership
- A-5 through A-6: Contributing Active Member Data by Age and Service
- A-7 through A-8: Inactive Member Data by Age and Status

Table A-1 Contributing Active Member Data by Tier							
		July 1, 2020		July 1, 2019	% Change		
<u>Tier 1</u> Count Average Age Average Service Average Appropriation Pay Total Appropriation Payroll	\$	1,710 44.0 17.8 122,163 208 899 415	\$	1,822 43.5 17.3 120,379 219 330 515	-6.1% 1.1% 3.2% 1.5% -4.8%		
Tier 2CountAverage AgeAverage ServiceAverage Appropriation PayTotal Appropriation Payroll	\$ \$ \$	1,052 31.9 5.1 84,938 89,355,099	\$ \$ \$	944 31.5 4.5 81,419 76,859,411	11.4% 1.5% 12.8% 4.3% 16.3%		
<u>Total</u> Count Average Age Average Service Average Appropriation Pay Total Appropriation Payroll	\$ \$	2,762 39.4 13.0 107,985 298,254,514	\$ \$	2,766 39.4 12.9 107,082 296,189,926	-0.1% 0.0% 0.5% 0.8% 0.7%		



APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

Table A-2Non-Contributing Active Member Data by Tier								
		July 1, 2020		July 1, 2019	% Change			
<u>Tier 1</u>								
Count		29		31	-6.5%			
Average Age		48.4		47.3	2.4%			
Average Service		12.3		11.9	3.3%			
Average Last Reported Pay	\$	95,522	\$	92,655	3.1%			
Total Last Reported Pay	\$	2,770,124	\$	2,872,305	-3.6%			
<u>Tier 2</u>								
Count		28		23	21.7%			
Average Age		33.0		31.8	3.6%			
Average Service		3.1		2.5	23.6%			
Average Last Reported Pay	\$	76,357	\$	73,350	4.1%			
Total Last Reported Pay	\$	2,138,008	\$	1,687,052	26.7%			
Total								
Count		57		54	5.6%			
Average Age		40.8		40.7	0.3%			
Average Service		7.8		7.9	-1.5%			
Average Last Reported Pav	\$	86,108	\$	84,433	2.0%			
Total Last Reported Pay	\$	4,908,132	\$	4,559,357	7.6%			



APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

Inactive	T: Men	able A-3 1ber Data by St	atus		
	J	July 1, 2020		July 1, 2019	% Change
Retirees					
Count		2,721		2,676	1.7%
Annual Retirement Allowances	\$	198,240,895	\$	191,944,688	3.3%
Average Retirement Allowance	\$	72,856	\$	71,728	1.6%
Beneficiaries					
Count		468		440	6.4%
Annual Retirement Allowances	\$	17,976,004	\$	16,905,526	6.3%
Average Retirement Allowance	\$	38,410	\$	38,422	0.0%
Ordinary Disability					
Count		127		128	-0.8%
Annual Retirement Allowances	\$	5,143,134	\$	5,176,409	-0.6%
Average Retirement Allowance	\$	40,497	\$	40,441	0.1%
Accidental Disability					
Count		163		156	4.5%
Annual Retirement Allowances	\$	9,956,297	\$	9,367,655	6.3%
Average Retirement Allowance	\$	61,082	\$	60,049	1.7%
In-Pay Total					
Count		3,479		3,400	2.3%
Annual Retirement Allowances	\$	231,316,330	\$	223,394,278	3.5%
Average Retirement Allowance	\$	66,489	\$	65,704	1.2%
Deferred Vested Members					
Count		0		0	N/A
Annual Retirement Allowances	\$	0	\$	0	N/A
Average Retirement Allowance	\$	0	\$	0	N/A

QDRO benefits included with member records for valuation purposes.



APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

	Reconciliati	on of Plan Mem	Table A-4	uly 1-2019 to J	ulv 1 2020		
	Contributing Actives	Non-Contrib. Actives	Deferred Vested	Retired	Disabled	Beneficiaries	Total
1. July 1, 2019	2,766	54	0	2,676	284	440	6,220
 2. Additions a. New entrants b. New beneficiaries c. Data correction d. Total 	117	0	0	0	0	16	$ \begin{array}{r} 117\\ 16\\ 0\\ 133\\ \end{array} $
 a. Notal 3. Reductions a. Withdrawal b. Died without beneficiary c. Payments ceased d. Total 	0	(5)	0	(28)	(1)	(21)	$ \begin{array}{r} (5) \\ (50) \\ \hline (55) \end{array} $
 4. Changes in Status a. Contributing Active b. Non-Contributing Active c. Deferred Vested d. Retired e. Disabled f. Died with beneficiary g. Total 	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (9) \\ (108) \\ (4) \\ (11) \\ (121) \end{array} $	(3) (1) 9 	0	$ \begin{array}{r} 108 \\ (5) \\ \underline{(30)} \\ 73 \end{array} $	9 (1) 9 (2) 7	$\frac{33}{33}$	(55) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
5. July 1, 2020	2,762	57	0	2,721	290	468	6,298

QDRO benefits included with member records for valuation purposes.



APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

Table A-5 Counts by Age and Service of Contributing Active Members									
Attained				Years of	Service				
Age	Under 1	1 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 & up	Total
Under 30	83	202	34	0	0	0	0	0	319
30 to 34	19	142	351	45	0	0	0	0	557
35 to 39	2	27	119	324	101	1	0	0	574
40 to 44	0	0	19	166	390	31	0	0	606
45 to 49	0	0	0	38	170	219	35	0	462
50 to 54	0	0	0	0	48	104	83	9	244
55 & up	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	104	371	523	573	709	355	118	9	2,762

	Table A-6 Average Appropriation Pay by Age and Service of Contributing Active Members															
Attained								Years of	f Se	ervice						
Age	l	Under 1		1 to 4		5 to 9	1	0 to 14]	15 to 19	20 to 24	2	25 to 29	3	30 & up	Total
Under 30	\$	73,706	\$	78,550	\$	85,993	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 78,083
30 to 34		73,706		80,915		90,010		100,824		0	0		0		0	88,009
35 to 39		73,706		81,224		91,294		110,552		114,767	138,904		0		0	105,843
40 to 44		0		0		95,430		114,045		120,643	124,684		0		0	118,252
45 to 49		0		0		0		114,906		122,746	131,522		143,005		0	127,796
50 to 54		0		0		0		0		123,995	135,922		139,340		135,111	134,708
55 & up		0		0		0		0		0	0		0		0	0
Total	\$	73,706	\$	79,650	\$	90,238	\$	111,089	\$	120,537	\$ 132,235	\$	140,427	\$	135,111	\$ 107,985



APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

Table A-7 Counts by Age and Status of Inactive Members									
	Status								
Attained			Ordinary	Accidental					
Age	Retiree	Beneficiary	Disability	Disability	Total				
Under 45	1	19	10	25	55				
45 to 49	22	4	7	21	54				
50 to 54	289	7	21	31	348				
55 to 59	718	21	31	40	810				
60 to 64	452	29	21	19	521				
65 to 69	310	44	13	10	377				
70 to 74	353	68	7	3	431				
75 to 79	324	99	10	10	443				
80 to 84	152	60	7	4	223				
85 & up	100	117	0	0	217				
Total	2,721	468	127	163	3,479				

Table A-8

Average Retirement Allowances by Age and Status of Inactive Members

		Stat	tus		
Attained			Ordinary	Accidental	
Age	Retiree	Beneficiary	Disability	Disability	Total
Under 45	\$ 55,431	\$ 30,475	\$ 39,972	\$ 64,587	\$ 48,161
45 to 49	86,269	61,496	40,285	65,133	70,254
50 to 54	85,893	58,666	40,880	67,626	81,002
55 to 59	82,898	54,072	42,116	62,748	79,595
60 to 64	79,145	47,881	47,418	59,175	75,398
65 to 69	74,444	47,825	44,590	58,450	69,884
70 to 74	65,560	39,555	37,480	48,195	60,880
75 to 79	55,875	39,230	26,725	35,737	51,042
80 to 84	50,075	35,107	27,471	39,172	45,143
85 & up	42,350	29,333	0	0	35,332
Total	\$ 72,856	\$ 38,410	\$ 40,497	\$ 61,082	\$ 66,489

QDRO benefits included with member records for valuation purposes.



APPENDIX B – SUMMARY OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

A. Actuarial Assumptions

1. Investment Rate of 7.30% per annum, compounded annually. **Return**

2. Administrative Expenses	No explicit assumption is made for administrative expenses for funding purposes per the funding methodology prescribed by NJ State Statute.
3. Cost-of-Living Adjustments (COLAs)	No future COLAs are assumed. Previously granted COLAs are included in the data.
4. Salary Increases	Salaries are assumed to increase by 2.95% per year through fiscal year 2025 and 3.95% per year for fiscal years 2026 and thereafter.
	Salary increases are assumed to occur on January 1.
5. 401(a)(17) Pay Limit	\$285,000 in 2020 increasing 2.75% per annum, compounded annually.
6. Social Security Wage Base	\$137,700 in 2020 increasing 3.25% per annum, compounded annually.

7. Termination Termination rates are as follows:

Service	Rates
0 - 3	0.450%
4	0.300
5	0.225
6	0.200
7	0.175
8	0.150
9	0.125
10	0.100
11-19	0.075
20	0.000

No termination is assumed after attainment of retirement eligibility.

All members with 10 or more years of service at termination are assumed to elect a deferred retirement benefit.



APPENDIX B – SUMMARY OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

8. Disability

Representative disability rates are as follows:

٨٥٥	Ordinary Disability	Accidental Disability
Age		
20	0.02/%	0.015%
25	0.041	0.025
30	0.061	0.053
35	0.169	0.194
40	0.172	0.208
45	0.218	0.214
50	0.375	0.220
54	0.505	0.295

No ordinary disability is assumed prior to attainment of ordinary disability retirement eligibility at four years of service or after attainment of special retirement eligibility at 25 years of service.

Accidental disability rates apply at all ages until the mandatory retirement age of 55.

Members retiring under the ordinary disability decrement with 20 or more years of service are assumed to receive the involuntary disability retirement benefit.

Members are assumed to receive the greater of the applicable disability benefit or the service or special retirement benefit, depending on eligibility.

9. Mortality <u>Pre-Retirement:</u> The Pub-2010 Public Safety Above-Median Income Employee mortality table [*PubS-2010(A) Employee*] as published by the Society of Actuaries (SOA), unadjusted, and with future improvement from the base year of 2010 on a generational basis using SOA's Scale MP-2018.

35% of the deaths are assumed to be accidental.

For purposes of pre-retirement accidental death benefits based on Adjusted Final Compensation, the benefit is assumed to increase at the same rate as active salaries.

<u>Healthy Retirees (Healthy Annuitants)</u>: The Pub-2010 Public Safety Above-Median Income Healthy Retiree mortality table [*PubS-2010(A) Healthy Retiree*] as published by the Society of Actuaries, unadjusted, and with future improvement from the base year of 2010 on a generational basis using SOA's Scale MP-2018.



APPENDIX B – SUMMARY OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

<u>Beneficiaries (Contingent Annuitants)</u>: The Pub-2010 General Above-Median Income Healthy Retiree mortality table [PubG-2010(A)*Healthy Retiree*] as published by the Society of Actuaries, unadjusted, and with future improvement from the base year of 2010 on a generational basis using SOA's Scale MP-2018.

<u>Disabled Retirees (Disabled Annuitants)</u>: The Pub-2010 Public Safety Disabled Retiree mortality table [*PubS-2010 Disabled Retiree*] as published by the Society of Actuaries, unadjusted, and with future improvement from the base year of 2010 on a generational basis using SOA's Scale MP-2018.

10. Retirement For those with 24 years of service or less: 0.50%

For those with 25 years of service:

Age	Rates
48 or younger	25.00%
49-54	50.00

For those with 26 or more years of service: 35.00%

Mandatory retirement at age 55.

11. Family
Composition
AssumptionsFor members not currently in receipt, 83.3% of members are assumed
married to spouses of the opposite sex. Males are assumed to be three
years older than females.

For purposes of the post-retirement death benefit for members currently in receipt, beneficiary status is based on the beneficiary allowance reported. If no beneficiary date of birth is provided, the beneficiary is assumed to be the member's spouse of the opposite sex with males assumed to be three years older than females.

No additional dependent children or parents are assumed.

For current dependents receiving a pre-retirement accidental death benefit, those under age 24 are assumed to receive a benefit until age 24 while those over age 24 are assumed to receive a benefit for the remainder of their lifetime.

For current dependents receiving a benefit other than a pre-retirement accidental death benefit, those under age 18 are assumed to receive a benefit until age 18 while those over age 18 are assumed to receive a benefit for the remainder of their lifetime.



APPENDIX B – SUMMARY OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

12. Data Information provided by the prior actuary was relied upon for the purposes of setting the status of and valuing non-contributing active records.

For current beneficiaries with missing data, reasonable assumptions were made based on the information available in prior years.

Inactives receiving benefits according to the 2019 data but omitted from the 2020 data are assumed to have died without a beneficiary.

 13. Rationale for Assumptions
 The demographic and economic assumptions used in this report, except for the investment return assumption, reflect the results of the July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2018 Experience Study, which was approved by the Board of Trustees on January 28, 2020.

The investment return assumption was recommended by the State Treasurer.

14. Changes in
AssumptionsNone.AssumptionsSince Last
Valuation



APPENDIX B – SUMMARY OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

B. Projection Assumptions

4.

- 1. Investment Rate of Return July 1, 2021 and later valuations: 7.00% per annum, compounded annually.
- 2. Appropriation The State is assumed to appropriate 100% of the Statutory contribution in FYE 2022 and each year thereafter.
- **3.** Administrative Expenses The actual administrative expenses paid in FYE 2020 are assumed to increase by 2.75% per annum, compounded annually.
 - **New Entrants** Contributing active population assumed to remain at 2020 levels.
 - Assumed to join mid-year.
 - Age/sex distributions based on the last three years of new hires.
 - Salary based on salary for most recent hires reported on 2020 data.
 - New entrant salary assumed to increase at the same rate used for current members.
- **5. Demographic** Same as those used for valuation purposes. **Assumptions**
- 6. Projection Basis This report includes projections of future assets, liabilities, funded status and contributions for the purpose of assisting the Board of Trustees with the management of the System.

The projections are based on the same census data and financial information as of July 1, 2020 which has been used for the actuarial valuation. The projections assume continuation of the plan provisions and actuarial assumptions in effect as of July 1, 2020 and do not reflect the impact of any changes in benefits or actuarial assumptions that may be adopted after July 1, 2020 unless otherwise indicated. While the assumptions individually are reasonable for the underlying valuation that supports the projections, specifically for projection purposes, they are also considered reasonable in the aggregate.

The projections assume that all future assumptions are met except where indicated with respect to future investment returns and demographic assumptions. The future outcomes become increasingly uncertain over time, and therefore the general trends and not the absolute values should be considered in the review of these projections.



APPENDIX B – SUMMARY OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

C. Actuarial Methods

The actuarial methods used for determining State contributions are described as follows.

1. Actuarial Cost Method

The actuarial cost method for funding calculations is the Projected Unit Credit Cost Method.

The actuarial liability is calculated as the actuarial present value of the projected benefits linearly allocated to periods prior to the valuation year based on service. The unfunded actuarial liability is the actuarial liability on the valuation date less the actuarial value of assets.

In accordance with Chapter 78, P.L. 2011:

- Beginning with the July 1, 2010 actuarial valuation, the accrued liability contribution shall be computed so that if the contribution is paid annually in level dollars, it will amortize the unfunded accrued liability over an open 30 year period.
- Beginning with the July 1, 2019 actuarial valuation, the accrued liability contribution shall be computed so that if the contribution is paid annually in level dollars, it will amortize the unfunded accrued liability over a closed 30 year period (i.e., for each subsequent actuarial valuation the amortization period shall decrease by one year).
- Beginning with the July 1, 2029 actuarial valuation, when the remaining amortization period reaches 20 years, any increase or decrease in the unfunded accrued liability as a result of actuarial losses or gains for subsequent valuation years shall serve to increase or decrease, respectively, the amortization period for the unfunded accrued liability, unless an increase in the amortization period will cause it to exceed 20 years. If an increase in the amortization period as a result of actuarial losses for a valuation year would exceed 20 years, the accrued liability contribution shall be computed for the valuation year using a 20 year amortization period.

To the extent that the amortization period remains an open period in future years and depending upon the specific circumstances, it should be noted that in the absence of emerging actuarial gains or contributions made in excess of the actuarially determined contribution, any existing unfunded accrued liability may not be fully amortized in the future.



APPENDIX B – SUMMARY OF ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

2. Asset Valuation Method

For the purposes of determining contribution rates, an actuarial value of assets is used that dampens the volatility in the market value of assets, resulting in a smoother pattern of contributions.

The actuarial value of assets is adjusted to reflect actual contributions, benefit payments and administrative expenses and an assumed return on the previous year's assets and the current year's cash flow at the prior year's actuarial valuation interest rate, with a further adjustment to reflect 20% of the difference between the resulting value and the actual market value of Plan assets.

3. State Contribution Payable Dates

Chapter 83, P.L. 2016 requires the State to make the required pension contributions on a quarterly basis in each fiscal year according to the following schedule: at least 25% by September 30, at least 50% by December 31, at least 75% by March 31, and at least 100% by June 30. As such, contributions are assumed to be made on a quarterly basis.

4. Valuation Software

Cheiron utilizes ProVal, an actuarial valuation software leased from Winklevoss Technologies (WinTech) to calculate liabilities and project benefit payments. We have relied on WinTech as the developer of ProVal. We have reviewed ProVal and have a basic understanding of it and have used ProVal in accordance with its original intended purpose. We have not identified any material inconsistencies in assumptions or output of ProVal that would affect this actuarial valuation.

5. Changes in Methods Since the Last Valuation

None.



APPENDIX C – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

This summary of Plan provisions provides an overview of the major provisions of the SPRS used in the actuarial valuation. It is not intended to replace the more precise language of the NJ State Statutes, Title 53, Chapter 5A, and if there is any difference between the description of the plan herein and the actual language in the NJ State Statutes, the NJ State Statutes will govern. This valuation is prepared based on plan provisions in effect as of July 1, 2020 and does not reflect the impact of any changes in benefits that may have been approved after the valuation date.

1. Eligibility of Membership

All members of the former State Police and Benevolent Fund and full-time commissioned officers, non-commissioned officers or troopers of the Division of State Police. Membership is a condition of employment.

- a) Tier 1 Member: Any member hired on or before May 21, 2010.
- b) Tier 2 Member: Any member hired after May 21, 2010.

2. Plan Year

The 12-month period beginning on July 1 and ending on June 30.

3. Service Credit

Service rendered while a member as described above.

4. Credited Service

A year is credited for each year of service as an officer or trooper in the State Police.

5. Compensation

Base salary in accordance with established salary policies of the state for all employees in the same position. Compensation does not include individual salary adjustments granted primarily in anticipation of the retirement or for temporary or extracurricular duties beyond the regular work day or shift. Effective June 30, 1996, Chapter 113, P. L. 1997 provided that the amount of compensation used for employer and member contributions and benefits under the program cannot exceed the compensation limitation of Section 401(a)(17) of the Internal Revenue Code. Chapter 1, P. L. 2010 provides that for members hired on or after May 22, 2010, the amount of compensation used for employer and member contributions and benefits under the System cannot exceed the annual maximum wage contributions and benefits under the System cannot exceed the annual maximum wage contribution base for Social Security, pursuant to the Federal Insurance Contributions Act.

6. Final Compensation

Average compensation received by the member in the last 12 months of credited service preceding retirement or death. Such term includes the value of the member's maintenance allowance for the same period. Chapter 1, P. L. 2010 provides that for members hired on or after May 22, 2010, Final Compensation means the average annual compensation for service for which contributions are made during any three fiscal years of membership providing the largest possible benefit to the member or the member's beneficiary.



APPENDIX C – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

7. Aggregate Contributions

The sum of all amounts deducted from the compensation of a member or contributed by him or on his behalf.

8. Member Contributions

Each member contributes 9.0% of base salary. For contribution purposes, compensation does not include overtime, bonuses, maintenance or any adjustments before retirement.

9. Adjusted Final Compensation

For purposes of the pre-retirement accidental death benefit, the amount of compensation or compensation as adjusted, as the case may be, increased by the same percentage increase which is applied in any adjustments of the compensation schedule of active members after the member's death and before the date on which the deceased member of the retirement system would have accrued 25 years of service under an assumption of continuous service, at which time that amount will become fixed. Adjustments to compensation or adjusted compensation shall take effect at the same time as any adjustments in the compensation schedule of active members.

10. Benefits

a) Service and Special Retirement:

Mandatory retirement at age 55. Voluntary retirement prior to age 55.

(1) <u>Service Retirement</u>: 20 years of service credit, or members as of August 29, 1985 who would not have 20 years of service credit at age 55.

Benefit is an annual retirement allowance equal to 50% of final compensation.

(2) Special Retirement: 25 years of service credit.

Benefit is an annual retirement allowance equal to 65% of final compensation, plus 1% for each year of service credit in excess of 25 years, to a maximum of 70% of final compensation.

(3) Members as of August 29, 1985 who would have 20 years of service credit but not 25 years at age 55.

Benefit is an annual retirement allowance equal to 50% of final compensation, plus 3% for each year of service credit in excess of 20 years.



APPENDIX C – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

b) Deferred Retirement:

Termination of service prior to age 55 with 10 years of service credit.

Benefit is either a refund of aggregate contributions, or a deferred life annuity beginning at age 55 equal to 2% of final compensation for each year of service credit up to 25 years.

For members who die during the deferral period, the benefit is a return of aggregate contributions.

c) Non-Vested Termination:

Termination of service prior to age 55 and less than 10 years of service credit.

Benefit is a return of aggregate contributions.

d) Death Benefits

- (1) <u>Ordinary Death Before Retirement</u>: Death of an active member of the plan. Benefit is equal to:
 - a. Lump sum payment equal to 350% of final compensation, also known as the noncontributory group life insurance benefit, plus
 - b. Spousal life annuity of 50% of final compensation payable until spouse's death or remarriage. If there is no surviving spouse, or upon death or remarriage, 50% of final compensation payable to surviving children in equal shares. If there is no surviving spouse or dependent child(ren), 25% (40%) of final compensation to one (two) dependent parent(s). If there is no surviving spouse, dependent child(ren) or parent(s), the benefit is a refund of accumulated contributions.
- (2) <u>Accidental Death Before Retirement</u>: Death of an active member of the plan resulting during performance of duties. Benefit is equal to:
 - a. Lump sum payment equal to 350% of final compensation, also known as the noncontributory group life insurance benefit, plus
 - b. Spousal life annuity of 70% of final compensation or adjusted final compensation (if appropriate) payable until spouse's death. If there is no surviving spouse, or upon death of the surviving spouse, 70% of final compensation or adjusted final compensation (if appropriate) payable to surviving children in equal shares. If there is no surviving spouse or dependent children, 25% (40%) of final compensation or adjusted final compensation (if appropriate) to one (two) dependent parents. If there is no surviving spouse, dependent child(ren) or parent(s), the benefit is a refund of accumulated contributions.



APPENDIX C – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

- (3) <u>Death After Retirement</u>: Death of a retired member of the plan. Benefit is equal to:
 - a. Lump sum payment equal to 50% of final compensation for a member retired under service, special or deferred retirement. For a member receiving a disability benefit, lump sum payment of 350% of final compensation if death occurs prior to age 55 and 50% of final compensation if death occurs after age 55. This benefit is also known as the noncontributory group life insurance benefit, plus
 - b. Spousal life annuity of 50% of final compensation payable until spouse's death or remarriage. If there is no surviving spouse, or upon death or remarriage, a total of 20% (35%, 50%) of final compensation payable to one (two, three or more) dependent child(ren). Previously granted COLAs also apply.

e) Disability Retirement

(1) <u>Ordinary Disability Retirement</u>: Four years of service credit and mentally or physically incapacitated for the performance of his usual duty and of any other available duty in the Division of State Police and such incapacity is likely to be permanent.

Benefit is an immediate life annuity equal to the greater of:

- a. 40% of final compensation, or
- b. 1.5% of final compensation for each year of service credit.
- (2) <u>Involuntary Ordinary Disability Retirement</u>: Ordinary Disability Retirement applied for by the employer.

Benefit is an immediate life annuity equal to:

- a. For members with 20 years of service credit but less than 25 years, 50% of final compensation plus 3% of final compensation for each year of service credit in excess of 20 years, to a maximum of 65% of final compensation.
- b. For all other members, the Ordinary Disability benefit.
- (3) <u>Accidental Disability Retirement</u>: Totally and permanently disabled as a direct result of a traumatic event occurring during and as a result of his regular or assigned duties and such member is mentally or physically incapacitated for the performance of his usual duties in the Division of State Police. Under certain conditions, regular or assigned duties may include the World Trade Center (WTC) rescue, recovery, or cleanup operations between September 11, 2001 and October 11, 2011. For such members who participated in the WTC rescue, recovery, or cleanup operations, the total and permanent disability may occur after retirement on a service retirement or an ordinary disability retirement.



APPENDIX C – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

Benefit is an immediate life annuity equal to 2/3 of annual rate of compensation, including the maintenance allowance, at the time of the traumatic event or retirement, whichever is greater.

11. Forms of Payment

No optional forms of payment available.

12. Changes in Plan Provisions since Last Valuation

Chapter 157, P.L. 2019 expanded the definition of regular or assigned duties for purposes of accidental disability retirement to include the World Trade Center (WTC) rescue, recovery, or cleanup operations between September 11, 2001 and October 11, 2011 under certain conditions. For such members who participated in the WTC rescue, recovery, or cleanup operations, the total and permanent disability may occur after retirement on a service retirement or an ordinary disability retirement.

Chapter 305, P.L. 2019 increased the benefit for a surviving child in the event of ordinary death while in active service. Previously, if there was no surviving spouse, or upon the surviving spouse's death or remarriage, a total of 20% (35%, 50%) of final compensation was payable to one (two, three or more) dependent child(ren). Under Chapter 305, if there is no surviving spouse, or upon the surviving spouse's death or remarriage, 50% of final compensation is payable to surviving children in equal shares.



APPENDIX D – HISTORICAL DATA AND REQUIRED EXHIBITS

	Table D-1 Historical Summary of Assets and Liabilities												
Valuation Date July 1,		Market Value of Assets		Actuarial Value of Assets		Actuarial Liability	<u>Fund</u> Market Value	<u>ed Ratio</u> Actuarial Value					
2020	\$	1,861,270,733	\$	2,001,925,624	\$	3,692,501,511	50.4%	54.2%					
2019		1,902,721,169		1,971,653,600		3,595,361,713	52.9%	54.8%					
2018		1,881,340,538		1,939,304,839		3,430,821,762	54.8%	56.5%					
2017		1,830,429,239		1,923,127,122		3,346,082,274	54.7%	57.5%					
2016		1,744,462,405		1,931,131,875		3,209,386,033	54.4%	60.2%					
2015		1,900,695,725		1,969,239,472		3,090,220,484	61.5%	63.7%					
2014		1,967,141,815		1,981,376,495		2,963,182,120	66.4%	66.9%					
2013		1,832,851,456		1,990,797,312		2,870,590,700	63.8%	69.4%					
2012		1,755,429,511		1,995,388,133		2,767,768,813	63.4%	72.1%					
2011		1,820,438,444		2,015,624,130		2,581,950,846	70.5%	78.1%					

Table D-2 Historical Summary of State Appropriations													
Fiscal Year Ending June 30,	scal Year Actuarially Ending Determined June 30, Contribution		Actual Pension Contributions		Contribution Deficiency (Excess)		Percentage of Contribution Covered						
2021	\$	178,836,912	\$	139,492,791	\$	39,344,121	78.00%						
2020		165,576,179		115,920,000		49,656,179	70.01%						
2019		159,162,729		96,000,000		63,162,729	60.32%						
2018		144,208,823		72,104,000		72,104,823	50.00%						
2017		133,217,662		51,038,000		82,179,662	38.31%						
2016		118,600,705		35,580,000		83,020,705	30.00%						
2015		108,904,703		37,358,000		71,546,703	34.30%						
2014		103,193,378		35,231,000		67,962,378	34.14%						
2013		89,535,903		25,582,000		63,953,903	28.57%						
2012		89,671,744		12,810,000		76,861,744	14.29%						

FYE 2021 actual contribution is based on the State's anticipated appropriation of 78% of the Statutory Contribution.

The information above is based on the final actuarial valuation reports for the given years. The amounts do not reflect differences between the discounted State appropriations receivable and the actual State contribution amounts that became known after the issuance of the reports



APPENDIX D – HISTORICAL DATA AND REQUIRED EXHIBITS

In accordance with the Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) and their recommended checklist for Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports, we prepared the following schedules for the System. The GFOA checklist uses the term Actuarial Accrued Liability, which is the same as the Actuarial Liability used elsewhere in this report.

	Table D-3 Schedule Retirees and Beneficiaries Added to and Removed from Rolls													
Valuation	Added to Rolls		Remove	d from Rolls	Rolls at	t End of Year	Average	% Increase in						
Date July 1,	Number	Annual Allowance	Number ¹	Annual Allowance	Number ¹	Annual Allowance	Annual Allowance ¹	Average Annual Allowance ¹						
2020	161	\$ 11,682,136	82	\$ 3,767,812	3,479	\$ 231,316,330	\$ 66,489	1.19%						
2019	79	5,012,378	83	3,855,314	3,400	223,394,278	65,704	0.66%						
2018	127	9,003,637	64	2,802,076	3,404	222,196,734	65,275	8.54%						
2017	101	5,551,153	79	3,074,257	3,588	215,773,680	60,138	0.54%						
2016	137	8,351,556	82	3,165,408	3,566	213,292,784	59,813	0.91%						
2015	160	10,330,374	58	2,732,284	3,511	208,106,636	59,273	0.77%						
2014	201	14,131,655	45	1,563,031	3,409	200,508,546	58,817	1.81%						
2013	291	20,641,305	68	2,192,736	3,253	187,939,922	57,774	3.28%						
2012	256	17,483,694	44	1,557,365	3,030	169,491,353	55,938	3.06%						
2011	232	14,848,311	66	2,030,490	2,818	152,950,538	54,276	3.65%						

¹Beginning with the 2018 valuation, QDRO records excluded from headcounts and QDRO benefits included with member records.

This change resulted in 247 fewer records on the rolls as of July 1, 2018.

Table D-4 Schedule of Active Member Valuation Data												
Valuation Date July 1,	Number of Contributing Active Members ¹	C	Annual ompensation ¹	Annual Av Compensa	verage	% Increase/ (Decrease) in Average Annua Compensation	1					
2020	2,762	\$	298,254,514	\$ 1	07,985	0.84%						
2019	2,766		296,189,926	1	07,082	3.32%						
2018	2,661		275,790,087	1	03,642	0.84%						
2017	2,812		289,022,222	1	02,782	0.83%						
2016	2,725		277,771,135	1	01,934	(0.98%)						
2015	2,676		275,477,457	1	02,944	(1.09%)						
2014	2,522		262,496,289	1	04,083	(1.46%)						
2013	2,481		262,063,829	1	05,628	1.48%						
2012	2,721		283,219,927	1	04,086	7.56%						
2011	2,844		275,219,752		96,772	1.12%						

¹ Prior to July 1, 2018, includes non-contributing active members



APPENDIX D – HISTORICAL DATA AND REQUIRED EXHIBITS

	Table D-5 Schedule of Funding Progress													
Valuation Date July 1,	A	ctuarial Value of Assets ¹ (a)	A	Actuarial cerued Liability (b)	(Sı A	ırplus)/Unfunded Actuarial .ccrued Liability .(c) = (b) - (a)	Funded Ratio (a) / (b)	Covered Payroll ² (d)	(Surplus)/Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability as % of Covered Payroll (c) / (d)					
2020	\$	2,001,925,624	\$	3,692,501,511	\$	1,690,575,887	54.22% \$	298,254,514	566.82%					
2019		1,971,653,600		3,595,361,713		1,623,708,113	54.84%	296,189,926	548.20%					
2018		1,939,304,839		3,430,821,762		1,491,516,923	56.53%	275,790,087	540.82%					
2017		1,923,127,122		3,346,082,274		1,422,955,152	57.47%	289,022,222	492.33%					
2016		1,931,131,875		3,209,386,033		1,278,254,158	60.17%	277,771,135	460.18%					
2015		1,969,239,472		3,090,220,484		1,120,981,012	63.72%	275,477,457	406.92%					
2014		1,981,376,495		2,963,182,120		981,805,625	66.87%	262,496,289	374.03%					
2013		1,990,797,312		2,870,590,700		879,793,388	69.35%	262,063,829	335.72%					
2012		1,995,388,133		2,767,768,813		772,380,680	72.09%	283,219,927	272.71%					
2011		2,015,624,130		2,581,950,846		566,326,716	78.07%	275,219,752	205.77%					

¹ Includes receivable amounts

² Prior to July 1, 2018, includes non-contributing active members

		Schedule of Funde	Table D-6 ed Liabilities by Type	e (Solvency Test)				
	Actua	rial Accrued Liabi						
	Contributing &	Contributing & Contributing & Contributing & Retirees, Non-Contributing						
Valuation	Non-Contributing Beneficiaries &		Active Member Benefits Financed		Portion of Actuarial Accrued Liabilities Covered by			
Date	Contributions	Vesteds	by Employer	Actuarial Value	Actuar	ial Value o	f Assets	
July 1,	(1)	(2)	(3)	of Assets ¹	(1)	(2)	(3)	
2020	\$ 237,863,129	\$ 2,647,423,561	\$ 807,214,821	\$ 2,001,925,624	100.00%	66.63%	0.00%	
2019	232,360,668	2,562,244,073	800,756,972	1,971,653,600	100.00%	67.88%	0.00%	
2018	215,026,809	2,512,523,540	703,271,413	1,939,304,839	100.00%	68.63%	0.00%	
2017	206,680,622	2,445,366,686	694,034,966	1,923,127,122	100.00%	70.19%	0.00%	
2016	190,955,019	2,401,980,284	616,450,730	1,931,131,875	100.00%	72.45%	0.00%	
2015	181,536,046	2,289,865,821	618,818,617	1,969,239,472	100.00%	78.07%	0.00%	
2014	173,529,948	2,173,442,158	616,210,014	1,981,376,495	100.00%	83.18%	0.00%	
2013	171,462,709	2,034,551,263	664,576,728	1,990,797,312	100.00%	89.42%	0.00%	
2012	180,407,372	1,822,707,659	764,653,782	1,995,388,133	100.00%	99.58%	0.00%	
2011	182,626,003	1,634,856,377	764,468,466	2,015,624,130	100.00%	100.00%	25.92%	

¹ Includes receivable amounts



APPENDIX D – HISTORICAL DATA AND REQUIRED EXHIBITS

	Table D-7 Analysis of Financial Experience Change in Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability													
Valuation Date July 1,	Ac	etuarial Value Of Asset Investment (Gain)/Loss	(Actuarial Accrued Liability Gain)/Loss	A	ssumption & Method Changes		Plan Changes	Co	ontributions	Unf Ac	Change in unded Actuarial crued Liability		
2020	\$	35,163,723	\$	3,355,504	\$	0	\$	0	\$	28,348,547	\$	66,867,774		
2019		17,233,108		(2,412,105)		79,849,779		0		37,520,408		132,191,190		
2018		14,491,075		(3,282,548)		2,791,271		0		54,561,973		68,561,771		
2017		23,174,471		1,508,647		55,934,385		239,606		63,843,885		144,700,994		
2016		46,667,367		(8,038,512)		45,696,315		0		72,947,976		157,273,146		
2015		17,135,937		71,841		52,383,620		0		69,583,989		139,175,387		
2014		3,558,670		16,454,524		1,252,085		0		80,746,958		102,012,237		
2013		39,486,464		15,629,542		0		0		52,296,702		107,412,708		
2012		59,989,655		65,124,479		27,320,457		0		53,619,373		206,053,964		
2011		48,796,422		(38,262,822)		4,163,121		0		73,885,906		88,582,627		

¹Change due to contributions (greater)/less than normal cost plus interest on the Unfunded Actuarial Accured Liability.



APPENDIX E – GLOSSARY OF TERMS

1. Actuarial Assumptions

Assumptions as to the occurrence of future events affecting pension costs, such as: mortality, withdrawal, disability, and retirement; changes in compensation; inflation; rates of investment earnings, and asset appreciation or depreciation; and other relevant items.

2. Actuarial Cost Method

A procedure for determining the Actuarial Present Value of pension plan benefits and expenses and for developing an allocation of such value to each year of service, usually in the form of a Normal Cost and an Actuarial Liability.

3. Actuarial Gain/(Loss)

A measure of the difference between actual experience and that expected based upon a set of Actuarial Assumptions during the period between two Actuarial Valuation dates, as determined in accordance with a particular Actuarial Cost Method.

4. Actuarial Liability

The portion of the Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefits which will not be paid by future Normal Costs. It represents the value of the past Normal Costs with interest to the valuation date.

5. Actuarial Present Value (Present Value)

The value as of a given date of a future amount or series of payments. The Actuarial Present Value discounts the payments to the given date at the assumed investment return and includes the probability of the payment being made. As a simple example: assume you owe \$100 to a friend one year from now. Also, assume there is a 1% probability of your friend dying over the next year, in which case you won't be obligated to pay him. If the assumed investment return is 10%, the actuarial present value is:

Amount		Probability of		<u>1/(1+Investment Return)</u>		
		Payment				
\$100	Х	(101)	Х	1/(1+.1)	=	\$90

6. Actuarial Valuation

The determination, as of a specified date, of the Normal Cost, Actuarial Liability, Actuarial Value of Assets, and related Actuarial Present Values for a pension plan.


STATE POLICE RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF NEW JERSEY JULY 1, 2020 ACTUARIAL VALUATION

APPENDIX E – GLOSSARY OF TERMS

7. Actuarial Value of Assets

The value of cash, investments and other property belonging to a pension plan as used by the actuary for the purpose of an Actuarial Valuation. The purpose of an Actuarial Value of Assets is to smooth out fluctuations in market values. This way long-term costs are not distorted by short-term fluctuations in the market.

8. Actuarially Equivalent

Of equal Actuarial Present Value, determined as of a given date with each value based on the same set of Actuarial Assumptions.

9. Amortization Payment

The portion of the pension plan contribution which is designed to pay interest and principal on the Unfunded Actuarial Liability in order to pay for that liability in a given number of years.

10. Funded Ratio

The ratio of the Actuarial Value of Assets to the Actuarial Liabilities.

11. Investment Return Assumption

The assumed interest rate used for projecting dollar related values in the future.

12. Mortality Table

A set of percentages which estimate the probability of death at a particular point in time. Typically, the rates are annual and based on age and sex.

13. Normal Cost

That portion of the Actuarial Present Value of pension plan benefits and expenses, which is allocated to a valuation year by the Actuarial Cost Method.

14. Projected Benefits

Those pension plan benefit amounts which are expected to be paid in the future under a particular set of Actuarial Assumptions, taking into account such items as the effect of advancement in age and increases in future compensation and service credits.



STATE POLICE RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF NEW JERSEY JULY 1, 2020 ACTUARIAL VALUATION

APPENDIX E – GLOSSARY OF TERMS

15. Projected Unit Credit Cost Method

A method under which the Actuarial Liability is calculated as the Actuarial Present Value of the Projected Benefits allocated to periods prior to the valuation year.

16. Unfunded Actuarial Liability

The excess of the Actuarial Liability over the Actuarial Value of Assets.

